

TERNA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(Approved by AICTE & Affiliated to University of Mumbai)

Plot No. 12, Sector 22, Opposite Railway Station, Nerul (W), Navi Mumbai- 400706. Ph. +91 22 61115444, Fax No. +91 22 61115400
Web: www.ternaengg.ac.in - e- Mail: principal@ternaengg.ac.in

REF/TEC/OFF/2023-24/ 1477

Date:20.02.2024

Students Feedback Analysis**Summary**

Student's feedback analysis is conducted in online and offline mode. The majority of students have positive perception of various aspects of the curriculum, teaching methods, learning resources, assessment and practical sessions. They find the curriculum objectives clear, courses well organized, and workload manageable. Student willingly attend classes and shows active participation in conducive learning environment. They also appreciate the quality of learning resources and find the pace of courses appropriate. Feedback on assessments is considered helpful, and core subject teaching is highly regarded. Tutorials and practical sessions are seen as effectively handled and valuable. While there are some uncertainties and a small percentage of disagreement, and the overall feedback indicates a positive student experience in these areas.

In terms of Curriculum, more than 90 % of students are strongly agrees and agree with the clarity of objectives, organization of courses and workload manageability. Similarly 92 % of students are satisfied and agrees about the effectiveness of teaching methods and conducive learning environment and with the assessment. Regarding learning resources, less than 10 % are uncertain about their quality and availability and less than 5 % disagrees with their effectiveness. Concerning the pace of courses and efforts made by staff, less than 10 % of students expressed uncertainty and disagreement with the aspect.

In relation to assessment feedback, less than 10% of students are uncertain about its helpfulness, and with the assessment methods. For core subject teaching, more than 95% of students are strongly agrees about the punctuality of the instructor organization of content and delivery mechanism. In tutorials and practical sessions less than 10 % of students express uncertainty with their effectiveness and usefulness.

Action taken:

While the overall feedback is positive, these disagreements and uncertainties highlight areas where some students have reservations or lack clarity. TEC takes necessary steps to address.



(Dr. I. K. Ragha)

Principal


PRINCIPAL**TERNA ENGINEERING COLLEGE**
NERUL, NAVI MUMBAI - 400 706.

TERNA ENGINEERING COLLEGE, NERUL

STUDENT FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT


Academic year-2022-23

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Uncertain	Agree	Strongly Agree
Curriculum Objective					
The curriculum objectives were clear	113	156	235	618	401
The course workload in curriculum was manageable	125	168	273	557	397
The courses in curriculum were well organized (e.g. timely access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	120	165	236	501	361
Total	354	492	711	1571	1144
Student Contribution	7	12	66	279	53
Approximate level of your own attendance during classes	112	151	242	517	362
I participated actively in courses	123	141	255	583	414
Learning Environment and Teaching Methods were	127	147	252	607	379
I think the courses were well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (there was a good balance of lectures, tutorials, practical etc.)	132	156	267	606	362
The learning and teaching methods encouraged participation.	128	164	249	493	350
The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	124	165	258	591	382
Classrooms were satisfactory	121	165	249	569	387
Total	866	1079	1689	2607	2533




PRINCIPAL
 Terna Engineering College
 Nerul, Nav Mumbai - 400706

Learning Resources					
Learning materials (Lesson Plans, Module Notes etc.) were relevant and useful	131	188	394	1103	541
Recommended reading Books etc. were relevant and appropriate	120	156	245	471	395
The provision of learning resources in the library was adequate and appropriate	123	166	249	571	414
The provision of learning resources on the Web was adequate and appropriate (if relevant)	128	154	274	591	376
Total	491	636	985	1999	1530
Quality of Delivery					
The course contents stimulated my interest and thought on the subject area	134	159	250	549	361
The pace of the course was appropriate	132	153	253	547	368
Ideas and concepts were presented clearly	123	167	242	536	389
Total	387	479	745	1632	1118
Assessment					
The method of assessment was reasonable	122	156	232	541	404
Feedback on assessment was timely	120	159	259	529	388
Feedback on assessment was helpful	112	153	237	532	408
Total	354	468	728	1602	1200
Additional Core Questions regarding (Subjects)					
I understood the lectures	129	163	258	566	349
The material was well organized and presented	126	153	248	551	375





PRINCIPAL
 Terna Engineering College
 Nerul, Navi Mumbai - 400708

The instructors were responsive to student needs and problems	123	158	240	537	393
The instructors been regular throughout the module	118	156	244	613	468
Total	496	628	990	2267	1585
Tutorial/Other teaching strategies if any (reflective portfolios/Peer Mentor ship					
The material in the tutorials/sessions was useful	114	152	235	525	427
I was happy with the amount of work needed for tutorials/sessions	118	159	244	556	353
The tutor/demonstrator/mentor dealt effectivelywith my problems	116	152	237	561	365
Total	348	463	716	1642	1145
Practical					
The material in the practical's was useful	117	151	235	582	361
The demonstrators dealt effectively with me problems.	123	161	225	585	361
Total	37	65	380	1146	685





PRINCIPAL
 Terna Engineering College
 Nerul, Navi Mumbai - 400 706.

STUDENT FEEDBACK- 2022-23



Co-coordinator

Principal




PRINCIPAL
 Tema Engineering College
 Nerul, Navi Mumbai - 400 706.


TERNA ENGINEERING COLLEGE, NERUL
Department of Civil Engineering

Class: TE

Year : 2022-23


	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Uncertain	Agree	Strongly agree
Curriculum Objectives					
The curriculum objectives were clear	0	1	3	28	15
The course workload in curriculum was manageable	0	1	4	27	15
The courses in curriculum were well organized (e.g. timely access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	0	1	2	31	13
Total	0	3	9	86	43
Student Contribution					
Approximate level of your own attendance during classes	0	1	4	28	14
I participated actively in courses	0	1	5	26	15
Learning Environment and Teaching Methods were	0	0	5	30	12
I think the courses were well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (there was a good balance of lectures, tutorials, practical etc.)	0	0	3	33	11
The learning and teaching methods encouraged participation.	0	0	3	32	12
The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	0	0	4	30	13
Classrooms were satisfactory	1	1	8	25	12
Total	1	3	32	204	89
Learning Resources					
Learning materials (Lesson Plans, Module Notes etc.) were relevant and useful	0	0	6	29	12
Recommended reading Books etc. were relevant and appropriate	0	0	5	30	12
The provision of learning resources in the library was adequate and appropriate	2	1	5	27	12





PRINCIPAL
TERNA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NERUL NAVI MUMBAI - 400 706.


The provision of learning resources on the Web was adequate and appropriate (if relevant)	2	0	4	31	10
TOTAL	4	1	20	117	46
Quality of Delivery					
The course contents stimulated my interest and thought on the subject area	0	1	3	34	9
The pace of the course was appropriate	2	0	4	30	11
Ideas and concepts were presented clearly	0	1	4	30	12
TOTAL	2	2	11	94	32
Assessment					
The method of assessment was reasonable	0	1	3	30	13
Feedback on assessment was timely	0	0	1	31	15
Feedback on assessment was helpful	0	1	0	30	13
TOTAL	0	2	4	91	41
Additional Core Questions regarding (Subjects)					
I understood the lectures	1	3	3	32	11
The material was well organized and presented	0	1	6	30	10
The instructors were responsive to student needs and problems	0	1	2	34	10
The instructors been regular throughout the module.	0	2	2	29	14
TOTAL	1	7	13	125	45
Tutorial/Other teaching strategies if any (reflective portfolios/Peer Mentor ship)					
The material in the tutorials/sessions was useful	0	0	3	30	14
I was happy with the amount of work needed for tutorials/sessions	0	2	4	27	14
The tutor/demonstrator/mentor dealt effectively with my problems	0	1	4	30	12
TOTAL	0	3	11	87	40





PRINCIPAL
TERNA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NERUL NAVI MUMBAI - 400 706.

Practical					
The material in the practical's was useful	0	1	3	29	14
The demonstrators dealt effectively with me problems.	0	2	4	26	15
Total	0	3	7	55	29




Dr. Priyanka Salunkhe
 Head of Department




PRINCIPAL
 TERNA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
 NERUL NAVI MUMBAI - 400 706.

TERNA ENGINEERING COLLEGE, NERUL

FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT


Academic year-2022-23

SEM-III	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Uncertain	Agree	Strongly agree
Are the contents sufficient for the course in that semester?	1	0	0	25	21
The organization of the course in curriculum is justified	1	0	1	26	19
Any Suggestions for modification of content (add/remove)	1	1	2	2	0
Student's interest for the course	1	0	1	29	15
Total	4	1	4	82	55
SEM. : IV	0	0	0	0	0
Are the contents sufficient for the course in that semester?	0	0	1	17	7
The organization of the course in curriculum is justified	0	0	0	15	10
Any Suggestions for modification of content (add/remove)	1	0	0	10	0
Student's interest for the course	0	0	0	8	7
Total	1	0	1	50	24
SEM. : V					
Are the contents sufficient for the course in that semester?	2	0	2	23	18
The organization of the course in curriculum is justified	2	0	2	21	20
Any Suggestions for modification of content (add/remove)	3	0	0	2	0
Student's interest for the course	2	0	3	21	19
Total	9	0	7	67	57
SEM. : VI					
Are the contents sufficient for the course in that semester?	0	0	0	7	8
The organization of the course in curriculum is justified	0	0	0	9	6
Any Suggestions for modification of content (add/remove)	0	0	0	0	0
Student's interest for the course	0	0	1	11	3





PRINCIPAL
 Terna Engineering College
 Nerul, Navi Mumbai - 400 706.

Total	0	0	1	27	17
SEM. : VII					
Are the contents sufficient for the course in that semester?	1	0	1	21	18
The organization of the course in curriculum is justified	1	1	3	23	13
Any Suggestions for modification of content (add/remove)	1	0	2	2	1
Student's interest for the course	1	0	6	20	14
Total	4	1	12	66	46
SEM. : VIII					
Are the contents sufficient for the course in that semester?	0	0	0	5	9
The organization of the course in curriculum is justified	0	0	0	8	6
Any Suggestions for modification of content (add/remove)	1	0	1	3	2
Student's interest for the course	0	0	0	12	10
Total	1	0	1	28	27




PRINCIPAL
 Terna Engineering College
 Nerul, Navi Mumbai - 400 706.

FACULTY FEEDBACK-2022-23



Co-coordinator

Principal





PRINCIPAL
 Terna Engineering College
 Nerul, Navi Mumbai - 400 706.

Faculty's Feedback

TERNA ENGINEERING COLLEGE, NERUL

- 1) Full Name (Optional): → Ritesh Tandekar
- 2) Year of Joining: - 2022
- 3) Name of the Course: ~~En~~ Environmental Engineering
- 4) Name of the Branch: Civil
- 5) Academic Year and Semester: VI
- 6) Mobile Number (Optional):
- 7) E-mail Id (Optional):

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Uncertain	Agree	Strongly agree
Are the contents sufficient for the course in that semester					✓
The organization of course in curriculum is justified.					✓
Any suggestions for modification of contents (Add/ Remove)			✓		
Student's interest for course					✓


PRINCIPAL
TERNA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NERUL NAVI MUMBAI - 400 706.

